



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/592,962

08/25/2008

David Sidransky

62933(71699)

4059

49383 7590 11/28/2011
EDWARDS WILDMAN PALMER LLP
P.O. BOX 55874
BOSTON, MA 02205

EXAMINER

GOLDBERG, JEANINE ANNE

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1634

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/28/2011

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/592,962	SIDRANSKY, DAVID	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JEANINE A. GOLDBERG	1634	

All Participants:

(1) JEANINE A. GOLDBERG.

(2) Melissa Hunter-Ensor.

Date of Interview: 21 November 2011

Status of Application: after non-final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Jeanine A Goldberg/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant called the examiner on Saturday November 19th wishing to speak about this case before filing an amendment on Monday. The examiner called the applicant back on several occasions and finally spoke with applicant later in the day. Applicant wished to speak about the last communication where the examiner mailed a non-responsive because the claims were no longer drawn to the combination of GSTP1 and APC. After Applicant provided several citations in the MPEP that were not persuasive to the Examiner, the examiner indicated she needed to review the file before making a decision. Applicant indicated they wished to file the response on the 21st. .